



Golden Apple Grant Application -- Scoring Rubric

Greensburg Salem Education Foundation

Please use the following rubric to evaluate each grant proposal. Determine whether the grant proposal, in your opinion, meets the outlined indicators in each application section. Write your comments for further group discussion.

Grant Proposal Title _____

Reader Name _____

Criterion	Excellent (Score 6 or 5)	Average (Score 4 or 3)	Poor (Score 2 or 1)	Score
Project/Activity Description	Proposed endeavor is clear with strong evidence of careful thought, planning and research	Proposed endeavor is general and requires further thought, planning and research	Proposed project is unclear and lacks planning and research	
Originality of Project	A project new to Greensburg Salem and to the field of education	A project already in place at Greensburg Salem schools	A project that has received grant monies in previous years	
Clarity of Goals and Objectives	Goals and objectives are clearly defined	Goals and objectives are vaguely defined	Goals and objectives are not defined	
Number of Students Benefiting	Students of multi-grade/subject classes or whole school/district	Students of two or more classes (same grade or subject)	Students of one class or smaller group, (20 students or less, same grade or subject)	
Impact on the Students	The proposal clearly describes how the project/activity will enhance or augment student learning	The proposal describes some potential for enhancements to student learning	Impact of proposed project/activity is unclear or not addressed	
Budget	The proposed budget clearly states how funds will be spent on the budget page --explanation of expenditures is included	Budget form complete but lacks sufficient explanation of how or why funds are being expended.	Insufficient detail on how grant funding will be spent.	
Documentation	The proposal clearly describes how the impact of the experience will be documented/evaluated and reported	How the impact of the proposed project will be documented/evaluated and reported was unclear	Documentation/evaluation strategies are not addressed	
35-42 points -- High likelihood of funding, dependent on fund availability 21-34 points -- Funding possible; discussion necessary 20 points and below -- Not considered <i>Funding is ultimately decided through extensive committee discussion and group consensus.</i>				TOTAL SCORE: